



REVIEW ARTICLE

Occupational Health Considerations of Airline Pilot Radiation Exposure

Jaime Phillips¹

¹Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh (UK)

Abstract

Airline pilots represent a distinct occupational cohort exposed to chronic low-dose ionizing radiation due to their routine operation at altitudes where terrestrial atmospheric shielding is diminished. This narrative review synthesizes current evidence concerning aviation-related radiation exposure sources, magnitude, and health implications. Primary exposure stems from galactic cosmic rays and solar particle events, with dose intensity modulated by operational factors such as altitude, latitude, and the solar cycle. Epidemiological data and mechanistic studies suggest elevated risks for certain malignancies, notably melanoma, and hematologic cancers, as well as increased incidences of cataract formation, adverse reproductive outcomes, and possible cardiovascular sequelae. Distinguishing radiation-induced risks from confounding factors, including circadian disruption and chemical exposures within the aircraft cabin environment, remains challenging. Proactive mitigation strategies involve strategic route selection, altitude management, and optimized flight scheduling to reduce cumulative exposure. Robust dose monitoring using computational tools integrated with flight operations and longitudinal health surveillance programs are critical for early detection of radiation-related pathologies. Collaborative research initiatives are essential for refining risk models, informing policy evolution, and enhancing radiological protection measures. As the aviation sector anticipates ultra-long-haul flights, higher cruising altitudes, and commercial suborbital travel, addressing these complex occupational health challenges is imperative.

Key words: aviation safety, cosmic radiation, occupational health

Introduction

Airline pilots occupy a unique position in the occupational health landscape due to their regular exposure to elevated levels of cosmic ionizing radiation. Unlike ground-level occupations, pilots spend considerable time at cruising altitudes where the Earth's atmosphere provides less shielding against high-energy particles originating from outer space. With the expansion of global air travel, the introduction of ultra-long-haul flights, and an increasing number of flights over polar regions, understanding the implications of this exposure has become increasingly important. This narrative literature review examines the sources of radiation exposure in aviation, current regulatory standards, the associated health risks for pilots, monitoring practices, and strategies for mitigation. It aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the occupational health considerations related to airline pilot radiation exposure and to suggest avenues for improving safety protocols in the aviation industry.

Correspondingly, at altitudes higher than 30,000 feet, atmospheric protection against cosmic radiation diminishes significantly.² The primary sources of radiation exposure for pilots are galactic cosmic rays and occasional solar particle events. Understanding these radiation sources is crucial for assessing exposure levels and implementing appropriate protective measures.

Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCRs)

GCRs are high-energy particles originating outside the solar system, primarily from supernovae and other high-energy astrophysical events.³ These particles are composed mainly of protons, about 85%, helium nuclei alpha particles, about 14%, and a small fraction of heavier nuclei and electrons.⁴ When GCRs enter the Earth's atmosphere, they interact with atmospheric atoms and molecules, producing a cascade of secondary particles, including neutrons, muons, pions, and electrons.⁵ These secondary particles contribute significantly to the radiation dose experienced by pilots at commercial aviation altitudes.

Sources of Radiation Exposure in Aviation

A commercial aircraft's normal stratospheric cruising altitude is typically between 31,000 and 38,000 feet.¹

Solar Particle Events (SPEs)

SPEs occur sporadically when the sun emits bursts of energetic particles during solar flares or coronal mass

ejections.⁶ These events can temporarily increase radiation levels at high altitudes, particularly affecting flights over polar regions due to the Earth's magnetic field directing charged particles toward the poles.⁷ SPEs can cause radiation levels to spike several times the normal background levels, posing an acute exposure risk during these periods.⁸

Altitude, Latitude, and Solar Cycle Variations

Radiation exposure increases with altitude as atmospheric shielding decreases.⁸ Pilots and passengers are exposed to more intense cosmic radiation at higher altitudes. Moreover, exposure varies with latitude; it is higher near the poles and lower at the equator due to the geomagnetic field's influence on charged particle trajectories.⁹ The Earth's magnetic field is weakest near the poles, allowing more cosmic radiation to penetrate the atmosphere in these regions.⁷ The intensity of cosmic radiation varies with the 11-year solar cycle.³ During solar maximum, increased solar activity results in a stronger solar wind, which provides additional shielding against GCRs, slightly reducing cosmic radiation levels at Earth. Conversely, decreased solar activity during solar minimum leads to higher GCR levels reaching the Earth's atmosphere.⁴

Regulatory Standards and Guidelines

The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) recommends an annual effective dose limit of 20 millisieverts (mSv) averaged over five years, with no single year exceeding 50 mSv for occupational exposure.¹⁰ For pregnant aircrews, the ICRP advises that the dose to the fetus should not exceed 1 mSv for the remainder of the pregnancy once it is declared.¹¹ The ICRP recommends managing aircrew exposure as part of an employer's radiation protection program, including dose assessment and record-keeping. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) recognizes cosmic radiation as an occupational hazard in the United States. The FAA suggests that the ICRP guidelines be followed but does not enforce specific regulatory dose limits for aircrews.¹² Conversely, in the European Union, member states are legally required to assess the cosmic radiation exposure of aircrew likely to receive more than 1 mSv per year, implement individual dose monitoring, and take proactive measures to prevent cumulative doses exceeding 6 mSv per year.

Monitoring and Measuring Radiation Exposure

Due to the complex nature of cosmic radiation and practical limitations, monitoring airline pilot radiation exposure generally relies on computational methods rather than direct measurement.¹³ The CARI software program, currently CARI-7, is a computational model developed by the FAA to identify GCR exposure doses during air travel.¹⁴ Modeled results by Mertens et al.¹⁵ reveal that commercial pilots, on average, are exposed 1 mSv of ionizing radiation per 100 flight hours. Supplementary modeling by Copeland et al.⁵ shows that during a severe SPE with a hard spectrum, occurring on average 7 times per year, ionizing radiation exposure could theoretically reach 1mSv in a single flight. Additionally, a report by Cannon et al.¹⁶ suggests that during a solar superstorm,

expected to occur within the next 50 years, pilots airborne at the time of the event could be exposed to 20 mSv of ionizing radiation.

Health Risks Associated with Radiation Exposure

Ionizing radiation has sufficient energy to remove tightly bound electrons from atoms, creating ions and potentially causing cellular damage.¹⁷ For airline pilots, chronic exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation raises concerns about several health risks. These health risks include the development of cancer, cataract formation, reproductive health concerns, and cardiovascular disease.

Cancer Risk

Ionizing radiation can cause direct DNA damage, leading to strand breaks, base damage, and cross-linking.¹⁷ Correspondingly, indirect damage can occur by generating reactive oxygen species.¹⁸ Accumulation of such damage can result in mutations, genomic instability, and potentially carcinogenesis. Several studies have investigated cancer incidence among pilots. A meta-analysis by Sanlorenzo et al.¹⁹ found that pilots have approximately twice the incidence of melanoma compared to the general population. Similarly, Gundestrup and Storm²⁰ reported an increased risk of acute myeloid leukemia among pilots. However, attributing these risks solely to radiation exposure is complicated due to confounding factors such as exposure to contaminated aircraft air from toxic fumes.²¹ The relationship between low-dose radiation exposure and cancer risk is modeled using the linear no-threshold hypothesis, which assumes that any amount of radiation exposure carries some risk of cancer.²² While contested, this model simply underscores the importance of minimizing exposure.²³

Cataract Formation

The eye's crystalline lens is particularly sensitive to ionizing radiation.²⁴ Exposure to ionizing radiation can cause opacification of lens fibers, which leads to cataract formation.²⁵ A study by Uwineza et al.²⁶ identified an increase in 7Beta-hydroxycholesterol and 7-ketocholesterol in the crystalline lens of mice who received whole-body X-ray exposure to 2 Gray (Gy) of ionizing radiation and confirmed a positive correlation between ionizing radiation and crystalline lens oxysterol formation. Additional reviews of epidemiological studies²⁷ identified lens opacities occurring from doses of ionizing radiation less than 1 Gy, with a significant threshold of 350 mSv for posterior sub-capsular cataracts. A population-based case-control study by Rafnsson et al.²⁸ suggests that cosmic radiation is a causative factor for nuclear cataracts in airline pilots with cumulated dosing not exceeding 48 mSv. Arguably, the supposition that radiation cataract development is inherently stochastic and not tied to a threshold dose is similarly supported by epidemiological evidence.

Reproductive Health Effects

Ionizing radiation is known to cause damage to germ cells, which has the potential to lead to the genetic mutation

of offspring.²⁹ A study by Dubrova³⁰ explored the phenomenon of transgenerational instability in the progeny of irradiated parents and identified an associated elevation in mutation rates of the germ line and somatic tissues. For male pilots, a cross-sectional study by Kumar et al.³¹ provides direct evidence that occupational exposure to ionizing radiation results in decreased semen quality. This decrease in semen quality includes reduced sperm motility, increased sperm morphological abnormalities, and increased sperm DNA fragmentation.

For pregnant pilots, a dose of ionizing radiation above 50 mSv poses an increased risk of teratogenic, carcinogenic, and mutagenic effects on the fetus.³² Potential consequences of fetal irradiation, such as spontaneous abortion, malformation, growth restriction, mental retardation, and carcinogenesis, have been correlated with exposure dosage and developmental stage of a fetus.³³ A simulation-based cumulative dose study by Ulubay et al.³⁴ concluded that a pregnant pilot operating internationally could reasonably exceed a fetus's teratogenicity level. Additionally, the risk of childhood leukemia has the potential to increase by a factor of 1.5 to 2 when exposed to 10 to 20 mSv of radiation in utero.³⁵

Cardiovascular Effects

A meta-analysis by Little et al.³⁶ concluded that the relative risk for cardiovascular disease increased when exposed to ionizing radiation, including chronic low-dose exposure involving fractional dose aggregation of 5 mSv. Predictable variations in blood pressure are also associated with cosmic radiation exposure. A study by Dimitrova et al.³⁷ recognized that increased geomagnetic storm activity was responsible for increased arterial blood pressure. Additionally, a study by Papailiou et al.³⁸ reaffirmed the relationship between variations in arterial blood pressure and cosmic ray intensity among pilots. Although a correlation between low-dose cosmic radiation exposure and an increased risk of myocardial infarction is not definitively linked, statistically significant data exists to suggest a proximate cause.³⁹

Mitigation Strategies

Risk mitigation to protect pilots from excessive ionizing radiation exposure can be accomplished through flight route and scheduling optimization, research collaboration, and investment in advanced technologies. Active monitoring of radiation exposure and implementing mitigation strategies allows airlines to proactively manage potential health risks and ensure their pilots' long-term well-being and operational effectiveness.

Flight Route and Scheduling Optimization

Optimizing flight routes and altitudes is a practical approach to reducing radiation exposure. Pilots can minimize time spent at high altitudes and high latitudes, where cosmic radiation intensity is greater due to thinner atmospheric shielding and geomagnetic effects.⁴⁰ Avoiding polar routes during periods of increased solar activity can significantly reduce exposure.⁵ Additionally, when operationally feasible, flying at lower altitudes decreases radiation dose rates, as cosmic radiation intensity inversely correlates with atmospheric depth.⁴¹

Scheduling and workload management protect pilots from excessive radiation exposure by strategically controlling and distributing their cumulative doses over time.⁴² Radiation monitoring tools such as CARI-7, in partnership with preemptive workload management, allow airlines to balance the assignment of flights with elevated radiation levels, ensuring that individual pilots are not disproportionately exposed. Ultimately, these scheduling strategies can help to keep individual doses within the occupational limits established by regulatory bodies.¹¹

Research Collaboration and Investment

Partnering across airlines, research institutions, and regulatory bodies enables the aggregation of extensive empirical data necessary to refine computational radiation dose models like CARI-7.¹⁴ Collaborative data integration enhances the reliability of dose assessments, facilitating standardized monitoring protocols and ensuring consistent application of safety practices across the aviation industry. Shared research initiatives contribute to a deeper understanding of the health effects associated with chronic low-dose radiation exposure, informing evidence-based revisions of occupational exposure limits and guidelines.⁴³ Moreover, advancements in technology offer the potential for enhanced radiation protection. Research into lightweight shielding materials suitable for aircraft may provide additional protection without compromising performance, although practical implementation remains challenging due to weight considerations.⁴⁴ Utilizing advanced navigation systems to optimize flight paths for reduced radiation exposure while maintaining operational efficiency is also beneficial.⁴⁰

Health Surveillance and Support

Comprehensive medical surveillance programs that conform with the IRCP's recommendations for occupational exposure to ionizing radiation should be implemented to enable early detection and intervention of radiation-induced health effects, such as malignancies, cataract formation, and potential cardiovascular changes.⁴¹ Mandatory health assessments should include detailed medical examinations focusing on organ systems susceptible to ionizing radiation damage, alongside monitoring for biomarkers indicative of radiation exposure and biological effects.⁴⁵ Furthermore, tracking individual cumulative radiation doses is imperative, as it allows for the correlation of exposure levels with health outcomes, enhancing the efficacy of epidemiological studies and informing occupational health strategies.⁴⁶ Collaborative efforts among airlines, regulatory authorities, and occupational health professionals are crucial for developing and maintaining robust health monitoring systems that incorporate advances in medical science and radiological protection tailored to the unique exposure profiles of airline pilots.

Considerations for Future Research

Ongoing interdisciplinary research is essential to elucidate the long-term health effects of chronic low-dose radiation exposure, such as potentially carcinogenic, cardiovascular, and neurodegenerative outcomes, thereby informing

evidence-based guidelines and innovative mitigation strategies. The introduction of ultra-long-haul flights and the potential adoption of higher-altitude supersonic and hypersonic aircraft may result in pilots experiencing elevated levels of cosmic ionizing radiation owing to decreased atmospheric shielding at higher altitudes.⁴⁷ Additionally, as space tourism and commercial suborbital flights become more prevalent, pilots operating these missions may encounter significantly higher radiation levels, underscoring the need for enhanced protective measures and specialized training.⁴⁸ Ultimately, future regulatory frameworks may necessitate incorporating individualized risk assessments that consider genetic susceptibility and cumulative lifetime exposure to establish more stringent dose constraints.⁴⁹

Conclusion

Airline pilots are uniquely exposed to elevated levels of cosmic ionizing radiation due to their operations at high altitudes with reduced atmospheric shielding.² While individual flight exposures are relatively low, the cumulative effect over a pilot's career can pose significant health risks, including cancer, cataract formation, reproductive issues, and cardiovascular disease.^{19, 20, 28, 31, 36} Scientific evidence stresses the necessity for comprehensive mitigation strategies encompassing flight route and scheduling optimization, rigorous health monitoring, and proactive medical surveillance.^{5, 41, 42} Additionally, collaborative research and data sharing are essential to refine exposure models, improve risk assessments, and inform evidence-based revisions of occupational guidelines.^{14, 43} As the aviation industry advances with longer flights, higher-altitude aircraft, and the advent of commercial space travel, addressing cosmic radiation exposure becomes increasingly critical.^{47, 48} Industry stakeholders, regulatory agencies, and the scientific community must develop and implement measures that safeguard airline pilots' long-term health and safety.

Competing Interests

No competing interest is declared.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. Cao Y, Tan W, Wu Z. Aircraft icing: An ongoing threat to aviation safety. *Aerospace Science and Technology*. 2018 Apr;75:353–85. doi:10.1016/j.ast.2017.12.028
2. Meier MM, Copeland K, Klöble KE, Matthiä D, Plettenberg MC, Schennetten K, et al. Radiation in the atmosphere—a hazard to aviation safety? *Atmosphere*. 2020 Dec 14;11(12):1358. doi:10.3390/atmos11121358
3. Rankin JS, Bindi V, Bykov AM, Cummings AC, Della Torre S, Florinski V, et al. Galactic Cosmic Rays throughout the heliosphere and in the very local interstellar medium. *Space Science Reviews*. 2022 Jul 15;218(5). doi:10.1007/s11214-022-00912-4
4. Mertens CJ, Meier MM, Brown S, Norman RB, Xu X. NAIRAS aircraft radiation model development, dose climatology, and initial validation. *Space Weather*. 2013 Oct;11(10):603–35. doi:10.1002/swe.20100
5. Copeland K, Sauer HH, Duke FE, Friedberg W. Cosmic radiation exposure of aircraft occupants on simulated high-latitude flights during solar proton events from 1 January 1986 through 1 January 2008. *Advances in Space Research*. 2008 Sept;42(6):1008–29. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2008.03.001
6. Cliver EW, Schrijver CJ, Shibata K, Usoskin IG. Extreme solar events. *Living Reviews in Solar Physics*. 2022 May 13;19(1). doi:10.1007/s41116-022-00033-8
7. Hu S. Solar particle events and radiation exposure in space [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2024 Nov 24]. Available from: <https://three.jsc.nasa.gov/articles/Hu-SPEs.pdf>
8. Copeland K. Influence of the superposition approximation on calculated effective dose rates from galactic cosmic rays at aerospace-related altitudes. *Space Weather*. 2015 Jul;13(7):401–5. doi:10.1002/2015sw001210
9. O'Brien K, Friedberg W, Sauer HH, Smart DF. Atmospheric cosmic rays and solar energetic particles at aircraft altitudes. *Environment International*. 1996 Jan;22:9–44. doi:10.1016/s0160-4120(96)00086-4
10. Miller DL, Schueler BA, Balter S. New recommendations for occupational radiation protection. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*. 2012 May;9(5):366–8. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2012.02.006
11. Desmaris G. Cosmic radiation in aviation: Radiological protection of air france aircraft crew. *Annals of the ICRP*. 2016 Apr 4;45(1 suppl):64–74. doi:10.1177/0146645316636009
12. Tobiska WK, Atwell W, Beck P, Benton E, Copeland K, Dyer C, et al. Advances in atmospheric radiation measurements and modeling needed to improve Air Safety. *Space Weather*. 2015 Apr;13(4):202–10. doi:10.1002/2015sw001169
13. Lewis BJ, Bennett LG, Green AR, Butler A, Desormeaux M, Kitching F, et al. Aircrew dosimetry using the Predictive Code for Aircrew Radiation Exposure (PCAIRE). *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 2005 Dec 20;116(1–4):320–6. doi:10.1093/rpd/nci024
14. Copeland K. Cari-7A: Development and validation. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 2017 Jan 10; doi:10.1093/rpd/ncw369
15. Mertens C, Kress B, Wiltberger M, Kent W, Grajewski B, Xu X. Atmospheric ionizing radiation from Galactic and Solar Cosmic rays. *Current Topics in Ionizing Radiation Research*. 2012 Feb 12; doi:10.5772/32664
16. Cannon PS. Extreme space weather—a report published by the UK Royal Academy of Engineering. *Space Weather*. 2013 Apr;11(4):138–9. doi:10.1002/swe.20032
17. Talapko J, Talapko D, Katalinić D, Kotris I, Erić I, Belić D, et al. Health effects of ionizing radiation on the human body. *Medicina*. 2024 Apr 18;60(4):653. doi:10.3390/medicina60040653
18. Cadet J, Wagner JR. DNA base damage by reactive oxygen species, oxidizing agents, and UV radiation. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*. 2013 Feb

- 1;5(2). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a012559
19. Sanlorenzo M, Wehner MR, Linos E, Kornak J, Kainz W, Posch C, et al. The risk of melanoma in airline pilots and Cabin Crew. *JAMA Dermatology*. 2015 Jan 1;151(1):51. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2014.1077
 20. Gundestrup M, Storm HH. Radiation-induced acute myeloid leukaemia and other cancers in commercial jet cockpit crew: A population-based Cohort Study. *The Lancet*. 1999 Dec;354(9195):2029–31. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(99)05093-x
 21. Burdon J, Budnik LT, Baur X, Hageman G, Howard CV, Roig J, et al. Health consequences of exposure to aircraft contaminated air and fume events: A narrative review and medical protocol for the investigation of exposed aircrew and passengers. *Environmental Health*. 2023 May 16;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12940-023-00987-8
 22. Laurier D, Billarand Y, Klokov D, Leuraud K. The scientific basis for the use of the linear no-threshold (LNT) model at low doses and dose rates in radiological protection. *Journal of Radiological Protection*. 2023 Jun 1;43(2):024003. doi:10.1088/1361-6498/acdf7
 23. Pennington CW, Siegel JA. The linear no-threshold model of low-dose radiogenic cancer: A failed fiction. *Dose-Response*. 2019 Jan 1;17(1). doi:10.1177/1559325818824200
 24. Hamada N, Azizova TV, Little MP. An update on effects of ionizing radiation exposure on the eye. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 2020 Nov 1;93(1115):20190829. doi:10.1259/bjr.20190829
 25. Hammer GP, Scheidemann-Wesp U, Samkange-Zeeb F, Wicke H, Neriishi K, Blettner M. Occupational exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation and cataract development: A systematic literature review and perspectives on future studies. *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*. 2013 Jun 27;52(3):303–19. doi:10.1007/s00411-013-0477-6
 26. Uwineza A, Cummins I, Jarrin M, Kalligeraki AA, Barnard S, Mol M, et al. Identification and quantification of ionising radiation-induced oxysterol formation in membranes of lens fibre cells. *Advances in Redox Research*. 2023 Apr;7:100057. doi:10.1016/j.arres.2022.100057
 27. Jacob S. Ionizing radiation as a risk factor for cataract: What about low-dose effects? *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*. 2013;04(02). doi:10.4172/2155-9570.s1-005
 28. Rafnsson V. Cosmic radiation increases the risk of nuclear cataract in Airline Pilots. *Archives of Ophthalmology*. 2005 Aug 1;123(8):1102. doi:10.1001/archophth.123.8.1102
 29. Adewoye AB, Lindsay SJ, Dubrova YE, Hurles ME. The genome-wide effects of ionizing radiation on mutation induction in the mammalian germline. *Nature Communications*. 2015 Mar 26;6(1). doi:10.1038/ncomms7684
 30. Dubrova YE. Radiation-induced transgenerational instability. *Oncogene*. 2003 Oct 13;22(45):7087–93. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1206993
 31. Kumar D, Salian SR, Kalthur G, Uppangala S, Kumari S, Challapalli S, et al. Semen abnormalities, sperm DNA damage and global hypermethylation in health workers occupationally exposed to ionizing radiation. *PLoS ONE*. 2013 Jul 29;8(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069927
 32. Williams P, Fletcher S. Health effects of prenatal radiation exposure. *American Family Physician*. 2010;82(5):488–93.
 33. Kumar R, De Jesus O. Radiation effects on the fetus [Internet]. U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2023 [cited 2024 Nov 29]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK564358/>
 34. Ulubay M, Ozturk M, Fidan U, Keskin U, Firatligil FahriB, Aydin A, et al. Can cosmic radiation level exceed the teratogenic level for pregnant flight crews on Turkish domestic and international flights? A simulation based cumulative dose study. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*. 2017 Jun 1;7(3):592–4. doi:10.1166/jmihi.2017.2055
 35. Leyendecker JR, Gorengaut V, Brown JJ. MR imaging of maternal diseases of the abdomen and pelvis during pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period. *RadioGraphics*. 2004 Sept;24(5):1301–16. doi:10.1148/rg.245045036
 36. Little MP, Azizova TV, Richardson DB, Tapio S, Bernier M-O, Kreuzer M, et al. Ionising radiation and cardiovascular disease: Systematic Review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2023 Mar 8; doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072924
 37. Dimitrova S, Stoilova I, Cholakov I. Influence of local geomagnetic storms on arterial blood pressure. *Bioelectromagnetics*. 2004 Aug 3;25(6):408–14. doi:10.1002/bem.20009
 38. Papailiou M, Mavromichalaki H, Kudela K, Stetiarova J, Dimitrova S. Cosmic radiation influence on the physiological state of aviators. *Natural Hazards*. 2011 Dec 16;61(2):719–27. doi:10.1007/s11069-011-0057-5
 39. Cornelissen G, Halberg F, Breus T, Syutkina EV, Baevsky R, Weydahl A, et al. Non-photic solar associations of heart rate variability and myocardial infarction. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*. 2002 Mar;64(5–6):707–20. doi:10.1016/s1364-6826(02)00032-9
 40. Langner I, Blettner M, Gundestrup M, Storm H, Aspholm R, Auvinen A, et al. Cosmic radiation and cancer mortality among airline pilots: Results from a European cohort study (escape). *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*. 2003 Nov 28;42(4):247–56. doi:10.1007/s00411-003-0214-7
 41. Bagshaw M. Cosmic radiation in commercial aviation. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*. 2008 May;6(3):125–7. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2007.10.003
 42. Hammer GP, Blettner M, Langner I, Zeeb H. Cosmic radiation and mortality from cancer among male German airline pilots: Extended cohort follow-up. *European Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012 Jun;27(6):419–29. doi:10.1007/s10654-012-9698-2
 43. Vaiserman A, Koliada A, Zabuga O, Socol Y. Health impacts of low-dose ionizing radiation: Current scientific debates and regulatory issues. *Dose-Response*. 2018 Jul 1;16(3). doi:10.1177/1559325818796331
 44. Lee JH, Kim HN, Jeong HY, Cho SO. Optimization of shielding to reduce cosmic radiation damage to packaged semiconductors during air transport using Monte Carlo Simulation. *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*. 2020 Aug;52(8):1817–25. doi:10.1016/j.net.2020.01.016

-
45. Ossetrova NI, Condliffe DP, Ney PH, Krasnopolsky K, Hieber KP, Rahman A, et al. Early-response biomarkers for assessment of radiation exposure in a mouse total-body irradiation model. *Health Physics*. 2014 Jun;106(6):772–86. doi:10.1097/hp.0000000000000094
 46. Vassileva J, Holmberg O. Radiation Protection Perspective to recurrent medical imaging: What is known and what more is needed? *The British Journal of Radiology*. 2021 Jun 23;94(1126). doi:10.1259/bjr.20210477
 47. Bottollier-Depois JF, Chau Q, Bouisset P, Kerlau G, Plawinski L, Lebaron-Jacobs L. Assessing exposure to cosmic radiation on board aircraft. *Advances in Space Research*. 2003 Jan;32(1):59–66. doi:10.1016/s0273-1177(03)90371-1
 48. Cucinotta FA, Durante M. Cancer risk from exposure to galactic cosmic rays: Implications for space exploration by human beings. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2006 May;7(5):431–5. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(06)70695-7
 49. Huang A, Glick SA. Genetic susceptibility to cutaneous radiation injury. *Archives of Dermatological Research*. 2016 Nov 22;309(1):1–10. doi:10.1007/s00403-016-1702-3